## Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 009355

64

ORIGIN ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-06 IO-10 ISO-00 AEC-05 AF-06 ARA-06

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-01 INR-07 L-02 NASA-01 NEA-09

NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02 SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01 RSC-01

OES-03 SS-15 USIA-06 SAJ-01 /116 R

DRAFTED BY ACDA/IR:FCRUMP -DENGEL APPROVED BY ACDA/IR:CVANDOREN ACDA/D:FCIKLE

----- 029707

PR 150124Z JAN 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY ROME PRIORITY

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

USMISSION GENEVA

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION NATO

USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

SECRETSTATE 009355

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: PARM, ITALY

SUBJECT: ITALIAN RATIFICATION OF NPT REFS: (A) ROME 07675; (B) ROME 17604

1. SUMMARY: ON JANUARY 9 DURING HIS TWO DAY WASHINGTON VISIT MINISTER ERIC DA RIN, COORDINATOR FOR NATO AND ARMS CONTROL AFFAIRS IN ITALIAN FOREIGN MINISTRYDISCUSSED SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 009355

USOFFICIALS. HE SAID LOWER HOUSE OF ITALIAN PARLIAMENT WOULD APPROVE IAEA-EURATOM SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT IN A MATTER OF WEEKS (UPPER HOUSE HAS DONE SO) BUT THAT GOI HAD ALTERED EARLIER POSITION AND DECIDED TO BEGIN RATIFI-

CATION PROCESS FOR TREATY ITSELF EVEN BEFORE ACTION ON SAFEGUARDS WAS COMPLETED. HE WAS CONFIDENT RATIFICATION COULD BE COMPLETED BY SPRING BUT AVOIDED FIRM PREDICTION OF ACTION BEFORE REVIEW CONFERENCE. HE TOLD DR. IKLE THAT ITALIAN RATIFICATION WOULD BE ACCOMPANIED BY STATEMENT OF RESERVATIONS, INCLUDING ONE RELATING TO RIGHT TO PNES,BUT ON A LATER OCCASION IMPLIED THIS WAS NOT FIRMLY ESTABLISHED POLICY. END SUMMARY

2. DR. IKLE RAISED THE POSSIBILITY THAT PROLIFERATION ISSUE COULD BECOME OF GREAT CONCERN TO CONGRESS THIS YEAR. HE SAID IT WAS HIS UNDERSTANDING THAT THE ITALIANS WOULD SUBMIT THE NPT TOPAR TAMPNT AFTER RATIFICATION OF THE SAFEGUARDS

AGREEMENT HAD BEEN COMPLETED. HE ASKED FOR DA RIN'S ASSMENT OF HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO COMPLETE THE ENTIRE PROCESS

3. DA RIN STATED THAT THE ITALIANS HAD BEEN FREQUENTLY ASKED ABOUT THIS BY THE FRG AND UK AND WERE COMPLETELY AWARE OF THE IMPORTANCE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF NPT RATIFI-CATION. HE POINTED OUT THAT THE SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT HAD ALREADY PASSED THE LOWER HOUSE AND HAD BEEN DISCUSSED IN THE SENATE. RATIFICATION OF THIS COULD BE EXPECTED IN A MATTER OF WEEKS. PREVIOUSLY, THE GOI HAD PLANNED TO SUBMIT THE NPT FOR RATIFICATION IMMEDIATELY AFTER ACTION ON THE SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT WAS FINISHED. HOWEVER, IN VIEW OF THE OVERWHELMING PARLIAMENTARY MAJORITY FOR RATIFICATION AND THE ITALIAN GOVERNMENT'S FEELING THAT RATIFICATION WAS A POLITICAL, MORAL, AND PRACTICAL IMPERATIVE. THE ITALIAN GOVERNMENT HAD NOW DECIDED TO SPEED UP THE RATIFICATION PROCESS, STARTING IT EVEN BEFORE THE COMPLETION OF ACTION ON THE SAFEGUARDS TREATY. HE HAD EVERY CONFIDENCE THAT RATIFICATION COULD BE COMPLETED IN THE SPRING, ALTHOUGH IN VIEW OF ITALY'S POLITICAL UNPREDICTABILITY, A GOVERNMENT CRISIS WHICH COULD DELAY THE SCHEDULE SLIGHTLY COULD NOT BE EXCLUDED. IN ANY SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 009355

EVENT, THE ALLIES SHOULD HAVE NO CONCERNSABOUT ITALIAN DETERMINATION TO RATIFY.

4. ON THE OTHER HAND, DA RIN CONTINUED, THERE ARE SOME UNSATISFACTORY ASPECTS TO BE CONSIDERED. THE TREATY HAD NOT BEEN THE BRILLIANT SUCCESS THAT HAD BEEN HOPED. ANOTHER FACTOR WAS THAT ITALY WAS SURROUNDED BY OTHER MEDITERRANEAN COUNTRIES WHO HAD NO INTENTION TO RATIFY. IT WAS DOUBTFUL THAT THEY WOULD BE ENCOURAGED TO DO SO BY ITALY'S EXAMPLE. DA RIN SAID THAT DURING THE RATIFICATION PROCESS THE ITALIANS WOULD RENEW THE THREE CATEGORIES OF RESERVATIONS THEY HAVE HAD REGARDING SECURITY, THE "EUROPEAN CLAUSE," AND PEACEFUL USES.

REGARDING THE LATTER POINT, WHILE THE ITALIANS AGREED THAT AT THE PRESENT JUNCTURE NO DISTINCTION COULD BE MADE BETWEEN PNE'S AND WEAPONS TESTS, TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS MAY CHANGE THIS SITUATION. AS SECRETARY KISSINGER HAD TOLD THE NAC, IT WAS JUST AT THE RUDIMENTARY LEVEL THAT PNE'S AND WEAPONS TESTS COULD NOT BE DISTINGUISHED. THE DOOR SHOULD BE KEPT OPEN FOR POSSIBLE TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES.

5. DR. IKLE RECALLED THE INTERNATIONAL SHARING PROVISION OF ARTICLE 5 OF THE NPT. HE POINTED OUT THAT THIS WOULD

BE ECONOMICALLY BENEFCIAL SINCE R & D COSTS WOULD BE BORNE BY THE TESTING COUNTRIES. IN ANY EVENT, US INTEREST IN EXPLOSIONS FOR PEACEFUL PURPOSES WAS DECREASING; FOR EXAMPLE, THE U.S. CARRIED OUT NO TESTS LAST YEAR. PERHAPS DECADES IN THE FUTURE THE SITUATION WOULD BE DIFFERENT. SPEAKING ON A PERSONAL BASIS, DA RIN SPECULATED THAT NON-NUCLEAR STATES DEPENDING ON ARTICLE 5 WOULD BE IN A TRIBUTARY POSITION VIS-A-VIS THE NUCLEAR POWERS. HE SAID THAT EVEN THOUGH THE UK WAS TRYING TO CONVINCE THE GOI OTHERWISE, WHY SHOULD ITALY NOT KEEP OPEN THE POSSIBILITY OF CONSTRUCTING A PEACEFUL DEVICE IF THIS WERE TO PROVE POSSIBLE IN THE FUTURE. IT WOULD ALSO BE A BOON TO MAJOR ITALIAN AID PROJECTS SUCH AS EXCAVATIONS AND DAM CONSTRUCTION IN THE THIRD WORLD.

6. DR. IKLE POINTED OUT THAT UNDER ARTICLE 5 IT WOULD BE SECRET

PAGE 04 STATE 009355

ESSENTIAL FOR INTERNATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS TO BE DRAWN UP IN SUCH A WAY AS TO INSURE FAIRNESS AMONG COMPETITORS FOR THIRD WORLD PROJECTS. DA RIN SAID HE WAS MERELY POINTING OUT THAT THIS WAS A FACTOR IN ITALIAN THINKING AND SHOULD NOT BE EXCLUDED AS A POSSIBILITY IN THE FUTURE. HE STRESSED, HOWEVER, THAT WHAT HE HAD SAID WOULD NOT INFLUENCE ITALIAN DETERMINATION TO RATIFY THE NPT. DR. IKLE SAID WE HAD NO PROBLEM WITH THE FIRST TWO ITALIAN RESERVATIONS BUT, WITH REGARD TO PNE'S, WE WISHED TO IMPLEMENT ARTICLE 5 WITH FAIR INTERNATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS. HE SAID WE WOULD BE PLEASED TO DISCUSS ITALIAN CONCERNS WITH THEM. DA RIN RECALLED A UK SUGGESTION TO ESTABLISH AN INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION CHARGED WITH EXPLORING ALL PNE ASPECTS HE SAID THIS WAS AN INGENIOUS SUGGESTION AND THE ITALIANS WERE OPEN TO IT BUT THE MAIN PROBLEM IN THE FUTURE WOULD BE WHETHER GOODWILL AND ASSURANCES SUFFICIED IN WHAT WOULD SURELY BECOME HEATED INTERNATIONAL COMPETITION FOR PROJECTS. DR. IKLE RESPONDED THAT NUCLEAR EXPLOSIVES WERE BUT A SMALL PART OF POTENTIAL PROJECTS FOR PNES. IT WAS IN THE FIELDS OF ENGINEERING AND GENERAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT WHERE THE MAJOR EFFORT WOULD BE. THERE, NONDISCRIMINATION COULD BE ASSURED. DA RIN AGREED

TO DR. IKLE'S SUGGESTION THAT THERE BE FURTHER BILATERAL CONSULTATIONS ON THIS POINT. DA RIN EXPRESSED HIS THANKS FOR OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE ITALIAN POSITION.

7. DR. IKLE NOTED THAT TIMELY ITALIAN RATIFICATION OF THE NPT WOULD ENABLE THE GOI TO PARTICIPATE IN THE REVIEW CONFERENCE. DA RIN SAID THE ITALIANS WOULD NOT FEEL THAT THEY WOULD BE LEGALLY PRECLUDED FROM PARTICIPATION IN THE CONFERENCE IF FOR SOME UNFORESEEN REASON RATIFICATION WERE

DELAYED PAST THE CONFERENCE DATE. DR. IKLE SAID THIS COULD BE DISCUSSED, BUT THE IMPORTANT THING WAS TO LAY A SOUND LEGAL BASIS FOR NUCLEAR COOPERATION BETWEEN THE U.S. AND ITALY. IN THE COURSE OF THIS YEAR, AS NON-PROLIFERATION BECAME A SERIOUS ISSUE, HE COULD FORESEE THAT CONGRESS WOULD ASSERT ITSELF AND OUR RRELATIONS IN THE NUCLEAR COOPERATION AND CREDITS AREA RUN THE RISK OF DETERIORATING.

## SECRET

## PAGE 05 STATE 009355

- 8. DA RIN SAID HE WISHED TO MAKE TWO POINTS. IN THE FIRST PLACE, HE COULD NOT UNDERSTAND THE SOURCE OF OUR ANXIETY. THE ITALIANS HAD ALREADY AGREED TO STRINGENT CONTROLS AND THEREFORE IT WAS NOT DIFFICULT TO DEMONSTRATE THAT ITALY WAS IN THE COMMUNITY OF LAW-ABIDING COUNTRIES. SECONDLY, THE ITALIANS WERE HAVING THEIR FEET PUT TO THE FIRE ON THE ISSUE BY EVERYONE. WHY WAS IT THEN THAT NEITHER U.S. NOR THE SOVIET UNION HAD CRIED OUT AFTER THE INDIAN EXPLOSION? ITALIANS, ON THE OTHER HAND, WERE AN ALLY AND HAD GIVEN FULL MORAL AND POLITICAL GUARANTEES.
- 9. DR. IKLE REPLIED THAT IT WAS OUR VIEW THE PUBLIC CRITICISM OF INDIA COULD BE COUNTER PRODUCTIVE. FURTHERMORE, IT WAS ALREADY EVIDENT AND WOULD BECOME MORE SO IN THE YEARS TO COME THAT INDIA WOULD BE SUFFERING REPERCUSSIONS FOR ITS ACTIONS. FOR INSTANCE, IT WOULD BE HARD TO GET CREDITS FROM CONGRESS AND THE EXCHANGE OF SCIENTISTS WOULD BE AFFECTED. DR. IKLE SAID THAT WE FIELD AND WANTED TO FURTHER THIS IN A COOPERATIVE EFFORT. THERE WAS THE ADDITIONAL POINT THAT LAWYERS WONDERED WHETHER THE EURATOM-IAEA SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT COULD COME INTO FORCE UNTIL ALL SIGNATORIES TO THAT AGREEMENT RATIFIED THE NPT. TREATY MEMBERSHIP WOULD GIVE OUR COOPERATION A MORE SOLID BASIS. DA RIN EXPRESSED GREAT SATISFACTION FOR OUR WILLINGNESS TOLISTEN TO ITALIAN CONCERNS AND TO HOLD FURTHER BILATERAL DISCUSSIONS.
- 10. IN SUBSEQUENTDISCUSSIONS WITH US OFFICIALS, DA RIN REPEATEDMOSTOFHISEARLIER ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING ITALIAN RESERVATION ON PNES. US OFFICIALS EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT THE POTENTIAL EFFECTS OF A PNE RESERVATION

AND POINTED OUT THAT THE NPT SPECIFICALLY PRECLUDED
DEVELOPMENT OF ANY NUCLEAR EXPLOSIVE DEVICE BY NNWS. IN
RESPONSE TO DA RIN'S ARGUMENT THAT IN THE CASE OF
ADVANCED NUCLEAR EXPLOSIVE PROGRAMS. A DISTINCTION BETWEEN

PNES AND WEAPONS MIGHT BE POSSIBLE. US OFFICIALS SAID THAT EVEN IF THIS WERE TO PROVE TO BE THE CASE, IT WOULD NOT APPLY TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF A NUCLEAR EXPLOSIVE CAPABILITY BY A NNWS SINCE THERE WAS NO WAY TO DEVELOP SUCH A CAPABILITY FOR PEACEFUL PURPOSES WITHOUT IN THE SECRET

PAGE 06 STATE 009355

PROCESS ACQUIRING A DEVICE WHICH COULD BE USED AS A NUCLEAR WEAPON.

- 11. WITH REGARD TO THE POSSIBILITY OF COMMERCIAL DISCRIMINATION AGAINST NNWS IN PROVIDING PNE SERVICES, US OFFICIALS POINTED OUT THAT THE NPT CONTAINED PRO-VISIONS DESIGNED TO PREVENT NWS FROM EXPLOITING PNES IN A MANNER THAT DISCRIMINATED AGAINST NNWS PARTIES TO THE TREATY. THEY ADDED THAT PNE ASSISTANCE FROM NWS COULD SAVE NNWS THE ENORMOUS COSTS OF THE RESEARCH AND DEVELOP-MENT PROGRAM NEEDED TO ATTAIN SUCH A CAPABILITY, AND THAT NNWS COMPETITION IN THE NON-EXPLOSIVE ASPECTS OF PNE PROJECTS -- SUCH AS ENGINEERING SERVICES AND DRILLING, WHICH MADE UP A VERY LARGE PORTION OF THE COST OF PNE PROJECTS -- WOULD NOT BE PREJUDICED BY THE TREATY. US OFFICIALS CITED THE LACK OF CURRENT DOMESTIC US INTEREST IN PNES. THE ITALIANS NOTED THAT THE BRITISH SEEMED TO BE NEGATIVE AT THIS TIME ON THE PROSPECTS FOR USEFUL PNES. BUT WHO COULD PREDICT THE FUTURE? DA RIN SAID THAT HE WAS MERELY REFLECTING CURRENT ITALIAN THOUGHTS ON THE PNE RESERVATION -- IT WAS POSSIBLE THAT THE POINT WOULD NOT BE PURSUED BY THE GOI - HE INDICATED WILLINGNESS TO HAVE FURTHER EXCHANGES OF VIEWS ON THE SUBJECT.
- 12. WITH REGARD TO ITALIAN PARTICIPATION IN THE REVIEW CONFERENCE, DA RIN REPEATED THE CONTENTION THAT ITALY SHOULD BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE EVEN IF RATIFICATION WAS NOT COMPLETED. US OFFICIALS POINTED OUT THAT IN VIEW OF THE TERMS OF THE NPT, FULL PARTICIPATION WOULD NOT SEEM FEASIBLE WITHOUT RATIFICATION.
- 13. GENERAL BUTTELLI, OF THE ITALIAN GENERAL STAFF, WHO ACCOMPANIED DA RIN, ASSURED US OFFICIALS THAT ITALIAN MILITARY LEADERS WERE NOT REPEAT NOT AGAINST THE NPT. KISSINGER

SECRET

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006	
<< END OF DOCUMENT >>	

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: NUCLEAR AGREEMENTS, NPT, TREATY RATIFICATION, POLICIES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 15 JAN 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE009355

Document Number: 1975STATE009355
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: ACDA/IR:FCRUMP -DENGEL

Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS Errors: n/a Film Number: D750014-0910

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750189/baaaagmx.tel Line Count: 269

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN ACDA **Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a

Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: CunninFX Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 21 MAR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <21 MAR 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <15 SEP 2003 by CunninFX>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a Secure: OPEN

Status: NATIVE Subject: n/a TAGS: PARM, IT To: ROME INFO BONN **GENEVA** 

LONDON MOSCOW **PARIS** TOKYO

EC BRUSSELS
NATO
IAEA VIENNA
THE HAGUE
LUXEMBOURG
BRUSSELS
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006